CLOVER HIGH 1625 Hwy 55 East Clover, SC 29710 9-12 High School GRADES 1,464 Students ENROLLMENT Ron Wright 803-222-4591 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Vickie W. Phelps 803-222-7191 Frank C. Falls 803-222-9710 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 13 7 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 21 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Excellent	Average	No
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC
 Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	82.1	N/A	N/A	83.7	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	10.0	N/A	N/A	9.4	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	7.9	N/A	N/A	6.9	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	92.6%	96.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	16.5	23.6					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	18.3	24.5					
Seniors who met the grade point average	36.3	54.9					

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Number of Students	329	381	
Number of Diplomas	247	303	
Rate	75.1%	80.4%	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	299	92.6	284	16.5	329	75.1	YES	
Gender								
Male	144	91.0	136	15.4	162	68.5	N/A	
Female	155	94.2	148	17.6	167	81.4	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	257	94.9	240	18.3	276	79.0	N/A	
African-American	32	78.1	34	0.0	43	55.8		
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	0	N/A	6	33.3	N/A	
Hispanic	6	83.3	6	33.3	4	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	271	94.8	259	17.4	294	78.9	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	28	71.4	25	8.0	35	42.9	YES	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	299	92.6	284	16.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	0	N/A	2	I/S	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	296	92.9	284	16.5	327	75.5	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	35	74.3	36	11.1	48	52.1	N/A	
Full-pay meals	264	95.1	248	17.3	281	79.0	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE			-,-	-,-	-,-	—,—	-,-	-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	۶/ چ	% Below Basis	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	[je]	" resting % Tested	/ / 8	% Basic		, \ \	jej j	<u> </u>	Participat:
		5 / %	/ %	/ %	1 4	\ \delta		[] J	; / ij
		1	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % A	/ ª S	/ ~ ,
Engli	sh/Langua				/ Objective	/			
All Students	394	99.2	12.7	27.6	29.8	29.8	69.4	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	215	99.1	17.8	28.7	30.7	22.8	64.4	N/A	N/
emale	179	99.4	6.6	26.3	28.7	38.3	75.4	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	321	99.4	10.2	24.4	31.7	33.7	74.3	YES	YE
African-American	50	98.0	23.9	41.3	26.1	8.7	45.7	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Hispanic	12	100.0	18.2	54.5	9.1	18.2	45.5	I/S	1/
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	338	99.1	7.0	27.3	31.4	34.3	75.9	N/A	N,
Disabled	56	100.0	46.3	29.6	20.4	3.7	31.5	YES	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N.
Non-Migrant	394	99.2	12.7	27.6	29.8	29.8	69.4	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I.
Non-Limited English Proficient	389	99.2	12.1	27.5	30.2	30.2	70.3	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	83	98.8	27.3	35.1	24.7	13.0	45.5	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	311	99.4	8.9	25.7	31.2	34.2	75.7	N/A	N
	Mathemati								
All Students	394	99.5	13.6	20.3	36.9	29.3	74.8	YES	YE
Gender	,								
Male	215	99.5	17.8	18.3	39.6	24.3	72.8	N/A	N
emale	179	99.4	8.4	22.8	33.5	35.3	77.2	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	321	99.7	11.6	19.1	37.3	32.0	77.2	YES	YE
African-American	50	98.0	23.9	26.1	37.0	13.0	65.2	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	1/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	l
Hispanic	12	100.0	18.2	27.3	36.4	18.2	54.5	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Disability Status	200	00.4	0.7	20.0	40.0	22.0	00.0	NI/A	
Not Disabled	338	99.4	6.7	20.0	40.0	33.3	82.2	N/A	N
Disabled Migrant Status	56	100.0	53.7	22.2	18.5	5.6	31.5	YES	YE
Migrant Status		NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	N.I
Migrant	204	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	394	99.5	13.6	20.3	36.9	29.3	74.8	N/A	N
English Proficiency	_ E	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	
Limited English Proficient	389	I/S 99.5	I/S 13.7	I/S 19.5	1/S	I/S 29.7	I/S	I/S	l I
Non-Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status	309	99.5	13.1	19.5	37.1	29.1	75.0	N/A	N.
Subsidized meals	83	98.8	18.2	29.9	37.7	14.3	61.0	YES	YE
Subsidized Meals	03	90.0	10.2	29.9	31.1	14.3	01.0	IES	1 1

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Cauca Bassis				
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,464)				
Retention rate	8.0%	Up from 7.7%	6.8%	9.1%
Attendance rate	97.9%	Up from 96.4%	96.5%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	13.4% 12.1%	Up from 9.9% Up from 11.6%	6.7% 10.2%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade	8.3%	No change	7.3%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.4%	Down from 6.0%	2.9%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	8.4%	Down from 12.3%	19.0%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	63.6%		64.0%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	4.6%	Up from 2.3%	3.6%	2.7% 3.6%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	11.6%	Up from 11.3%	4.2%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	1207	Up from 1089	1049	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	28.0%	Up from 18.0%	29.9%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	86.9%	Up from 85.9%	78.4%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	95.3%	Down from 97.3%	100.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 96)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	51.0%	Down from 52.1%	56.9%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	89.6%	Up from 84.4%	85.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or	88.0% 3.4%	N/A	89.9% 7.4%	89.5% 8.6%
provisional certificates				
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	93.1% 95.8%	Down from 94.3% Down from 96.0%	87.6% 95.8%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$44,223	Down 0.9%	\$41,381	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	7.3 days	Down from 8.6 days	9.9 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.5	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	30.5 to 1	Up from 27.6 to 1	28.6 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	93.1% \$6,688	Up from 91.8% Down 9.8%	91.2% \$5,577	90.0% \$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	65.0%	Up from 64.1%	59.2%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	91.2%	Down from 99.0%	91.3%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		89.3%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	N/A		.1%
High, and fad to a love to the control of	*	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	•	65.0%	Y	es

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

Clover High 4602i

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Clover High School serves all students in grades nine through twelve in the school district.

The school accomplished several goals during the 2003-2004 school year. Eighty-six seniors scored 1000 or higher on the SAT. This is the highest number in five years. In the fall of 2003, the school was declared a Palmetto Gold School as a result of a 2003 report card rating of Excellent. The CHS Career and Technology Department was named Outstanding Business of the Year by the Clover Chamber of Commerce.

The school won many awards in student activities. CHS athletic teams won seven region titles and an upper-state title. The girl's tennis team won the second state championship in school history. The CHS Marching Band won a fifth consecutive SCBDA Outstanding Performance Award. Numerous other awards were won in Air Force JROTC, Career & Technology competitions, and Journalism.

The School Improvement Council finalized a Small Learning Communities plan by approving the implementation of a ninth grade institute for the 2004-2005 school year. A new smoking policy emphasizing education over suspension was implemented.

Ron Wright, Principal Doug Baysinger, SIC Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	71	257	43				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	92.9%	82.1%	87.8%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	98.6%	87.0%	65.1%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 75.4% 84.1% 60.5%							
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included							